

# 1 Numerical Methods

## 1.3 Parabolic Partial Differential Equations (7 units)

*Part II Numerical Analysis is useful but not essential, since the required background can readily be found in the references and elsewhere.*

### 1 Formulation

For times  $0 \leq t < \infty$  we wish to solve the diffusion equation

$$\theta_t = \theta_{xx}$$

on the interval  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , with boundary conditions

$$\theta(0, t) = f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(1, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < \infty, \quad \text{where } f(t) = (3t - 2)t,$$

and with initial condition

$$\theta(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

This is the (non-dimensionalised) initial-value problem for the conduction of heat down a lagged bar when the temperature of one end varies in time. The aim is to study the performance of three simple finite-difference methods applied to this problem, for which the numerical solutions can be compared with an analytic one.

## 2 Analytic solution

### Question 1

- (i) To find an analytic solution of the problem first write

$$\theta(x, t) = f(t)(1 - x) + \phi(x, t).$$

Next find the governing equation, boundary conditions and initial condition for  $\phi(x, t)$ . Thence, with justification, solve for  $\phi$  in terms of a Fourier sine series in  $x$ .

- (ii) Deduce, either from the Fourier sine series or otherwise, that as  $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi(x, t) \rightarrow \alpha(x)t + \beta(x), \tag{1}$$

where the functions  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$  are to be identified.

- (iii) Write a program to compute the analytic solution by summing a finite number of terms of the series, or otherwise.
- (iv) Plot  $\theta$  against  $x$  at a few judiciously chosen values of  $t$  to illustrate the evolution in time.
- (v) How have you satisfied yourself that the solution has been computed to ‘sufficient’ accuracy?
- (vi) Discuss the evolution of the temperature in terms of the physics.

### 3 Numerical Methods

Divide  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  into  $N$  intervals, each of size  $\delta x \equiv 1/N$ . The aim is to march the solution forward in time for various time steps  $\delta t$ . It is convenient to introduce the Courant number  $\nu = \delta t / (\delta x)^2$ . We consider three schemes.

- (i) Approximate  $\theta_t$  by a forward difference in time and  $\theta_{xx}$  by a spatial central difference at the current time, which gives the numerical scheme

$$\frac{\theta_n^{m+1} - \theta_n^m}{\delta t} = (\delta^2 \theta)_n^m \equiv \frac{\theta_{n+1}^m - 2\theta_n^m + \theta_{n-1}^m}{(\delta x)^2},$$

where  $\theta_n^m$  is an approximation to  $\theta(n\delta x, m\delta t)$ .

- (ii) Approximate  $\theta_t$  instead by a central difference in time, so that

$$\frac{\theta_n^{m+1} - \theta_n^{m-1}}{2\delta t} = (\delta^2 \theta)_n^m.$$

In this case you will need scheme (i) in order to make the first step.

- (iii) Modify scheme (i) to

$$\frac{\theta_n^{m+1} - \theta_n^m}{\delta t} = \rho (\delta^2 \theta)_n^{m+1} + (1 - \rho) (\delta^2 \theta)_n^m$$

with  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ . This is now an *implicit* method, and at each step  $(N + 1)$  simultaneous equations have to be solved for the  $\theta_n^{m+1}$ .

*Remarks*

- (a) The matrix of the simultaneous equations is tridiagonal. Therefore the system may be solved quickly and efficiently by exploiting the sparsity. Your code *should make use of the sparsity*, e.g. the matrix should be stored in an efficient way, and needless multiplications by zero avoided. If you are using MATLAB then `help sparse`, `help spdiags` and `help speye` should help.
- (b) You can check that aspects of your program are working by setting  $\rho = 0$  and comparing with the output of scheme (i).

#### Question 2

- (i) First run each finite-difference scheme with  $N = 5$  and  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  and, in the case of scheme (iii),  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ . Plot the solution for representative times. In particular, tabulate and plot the numerical solution  $\theta_n^m$ , the analytic solution  $\theta(n\delta x, m\delta t)$  and the error  $\theta_n^m - \theta(n\delta x, m\delta t)$  at  $t = 0.1$ .
- (ii) Next investigate a range of parameter values of your choice. You might like to start by considering  $\nu = \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}$  and 1, and  $N = 5, 20, 80$ . In the case of scheme (iii) also consider  $\nu = \kappa/\delta x$  (i.e.  $\delta t = \kappa\delta x$ ) for appropriate values of  $\kappa$  and  $\rho$ .
- (iii) Discuss the accuracy and the stability of each scheme, and how these properties vary with  $N$ ,  $\nu$  and  $\rho$ , e.g. are your results consistent with the theoretical order of accuracy of the scheme? Statements about accuracy and stability should be supported by *selective* reference to your numerical results, displayed as short tables and/or graphs. Relevant theoretical results should be cited *briefly*.

Comment on, and explain, any interesting features, e.g. do you notice anything in particular about the error in the case of scheme (i) with  $\nu = \frac{1}{6}$  or scheme (iii) with particular choices of  $\rho$  and  $\nu$ ?

- (iv) Explain, *with justification*, which scheme and parameter values you would recommend to achieve a given level of accuracy using the *least* computing resources.
- (v) For your recommended scheme and parameter values, demonstrate that the numerical solution tends to the asymptotic limit (1) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

## References

- [1] Ames, W.F. *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, Academic Press.
- [2] Iserles, A. *A First Course in the Numerical Analysis of Differential Equations*, CUP.
- [3] Smith, G.D. *Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations: Finite Difference Methods*, OUP.